

# Mayo Clinic Mortality Reviews: Next Generation Patient Safety

### Jeanne M Huddleston, MD, MS

Associate Professor of Medicine Chair, Morbidity & Mortality Council Mayo Clinic, MN, USA

@jmhuddleston

huddleston.jeanne@mayo.edu

©2013 MFMER | slide-1

### Disclosure

- I am fundamentally biased about the potential this work has to save lives, improve systems of care delivery, build effective teams, create a culture of safety and just plain make a difference.
- I am the founder of the international SLS
  Collaborative & HB
  Healthcare Safety, SBC and nonprofit







### Agenda

- 1. Mayo Clinic experience with Mortality Review
- 2. Tenants of a Safety Learning System
- 3. Application of Six Sigma analysis and principles
- 4. Safety Learning System Collaborative Invitation





# St Marys Campus, Mayo Clinic Hospital

### **Annual Patient Encounters**



Total clinic patients: 1,260,000

Hospital admissions: 131,000

Hospital days: 608,000

Hospital deaths: 1000-1200

Employees: > 65,000



### WHAT DOCTORS HATE ABOUT HOSPITALS

An insider's view of what can go wrong—and how you can improve your odds of getting the right treatment Metropolitan Hospital

OR MICHAEL PETERSEN

-

2565 REPEN PL SH RDGHESTER .HN 55902-0995 BIG, RISKY HOSPITALS DON'T WANT YOU GOING TO SMALL RIVALS-WHERE YOU COULD HAVE SAFER, BETTER SURGERY.

0



MARCH 10, 2008 WWW.FORBES.COM

### 21<sup>st</sup> century health care

- Inefficient processes
- Poor system integration
- High levels of variation
  - Care delivery

**Special Health-Care Issue** 

REVIEW

- Outcomes
- Suboptimal value

Angelina Jolie Saving the world one child at a time

The Doctor Will See You

MICHAEL F. CANNON . JAMES C. CAPRETTA . JOHN C. GOODMAN

25 Products That Will Change

HOSPITAL

MISTAKES

You Can Avoid

ine 2007

OR NUTS? Test Yourself NEW HIDDEN FEES!

'eader's

Digest

### Early experience with GTT

Nosocomial infection Medication-related Procedure-related Pressure ulcers **Device** failure Patient falls

### Found things, but...

- Not much new
- Not much that pointed to strategic needs
- Nothing that resulted in new actions







# Original Charge from Hospital Leadership

1. To create a meaningful mechanism to review deaths at MCR hospitals:

- Thoroughly understandable
- Measurable
- Improvable
- 2. To identify and quantify unanticipated deaths
- 3. To identify rate of adverse events in patients who die in MCR hospitals
- 4. To classify and quantify system level changes which will improve mortality rate.



### Iterative Learning: 13 year journey





### Learning From Every Death

Jeanne M. Huddleston, MD,\*† Daniel A. Diedrich, MD,§ Gail C. Kinsey, RN,// Mark J. Enzler, MD,‡ and Dennis M. Manning, MD\*

The concepts of peer review and the venerable morbidity and mortality conference are familiar improvement approaches to health care providers. These 2 entities are typically provider or patient centric and are not typically extended within hospitals and health systems as a tool for organizational learning for care process or system failures. Out of a desire to deepen our understanding and accelerate learning about quality and safety opportunities in our hospitals, Mayo Clinic embarked on journey to analyze the stories of all patient deaths. This paper illuminates the lessons learned through the development and evolution of the Mayo Clinic Mortality Review System (Rochester, MN).

Guiding principle of Mayo Clinic Mortality Review System:

"No one should ever suffer or die as the result of process of care or system failure."

### BACKGROUND



# Guiding Principles: Not Negotiable

- 1. System review (not peer review)
- 2. Deference to expertise: Every case is reviewed by a practicing nurse and physician
- 3. All findings are recorded in the central registry
- 4. Multidisciplinary, multispecialty sessions used to build consensus re: findings

5. Implementation is local



# **Committee Work**





# Principles of Identifying Opportunities

- NOT about preventability
  - Opportunity for improvement (OFI)
  - No opportunity for improvement
- NOT about causality
- NOT about attribution
- Did the care meet the standard of care at this institution?
- Would you have wanted your loved one to receive the same care?





Pareto Chart of Categories of Issues Experienced by Patients Hospitalized in Mayo Clinic Hospitals

Categories of Issues (patients can be in multiple categories)



### Mayo Clinic, Mortality Review System

©2013 MFMER | slide-16

# Why does the structure work?

- Moves away from insular peer review
- Promotes culture change
- It's NOT about adverse events
  - Identifying process of care and system failures
  - Identifying opportunities for improvement
  - Inspiring action through stories

Right size quality improvement initiatives



# **SLS Multidisciplinary Team Principles**

§ Operates under Chatham House Rule

When a meeting, or part thereof, is held under the **Chatham House Rule**, participants are free to use the information received, but neither the identity nor the affiliation of the speaker(s), nor that of any other participant, may be revealed.

 Discuss patient's experience and system failures, not just peer's care

Professionalism is critical.

Committed to closing the loop on Actionable Information.



Example: delayed diagnosis of sepsis & delayed recognition of a postoperative complication



59 year old female underwent TAH POD #3 – AKI, urinary retention with new abdominal distension and pain

POD #4 – AKI worse, significant abdominal pain – narcotics stopped. Episode of PAF (130's)

POD #5 – hypotensive (70/45) with diaphoresis and nausea

RRT called but no blood pressure on their arrival

Code called with > 1hr of resuscitation efforts

On autopsy, abdomen filled with pus and a knick in the small bowel.



59 year old female underwent TAH

POD #3 – AKI, urinary retention with new abdominal distension and pain

POD #4 – AKI worse, significant abdominal pain – narcotics stopped. Episode of PAF (130's)

POD #5 – hypotensive (70/45) with diaphoresis and nausea

RRT called but no blood pressure on their arrival

Code called with > 1hr of resuscitation efforts

On autopsy, abdomen filled with MAYO PUS and a knick in the small Dowel.

- Communication Issues
- Documentation Issues
- Delayed or missed diagnosis
- Treatment Issues
- Delayed rescue of deteriorating patient
- Procedural complication
- Palliation issues
- Triage or transition of care issues

# Can patients have a "good" death?

82 year old male with severe COPD and pancreatic cancer was hospitalized for bowel obstruction.

Postoperative delirium Postoperative respiratory failure Pain meds held

Joshua Bright: A Good Death

### Per nursing notes

- patient routinely called out in pain
- family members consistently asked that he be kept comfortable.

Average pain score was 8/10 in the 24 hours preceding death.

Patient was made comfort care only and died within hours.



82 year old male with severe COPD and pancreatic cancer was hospitalized for bowel obstruction.

Postoperative delirium Postoperative respiratory failure Pain meds held

Per nursing notes

- patient routinely called out in pain
- family members consistently asked that he be kept comfortable.

Average pain score was 8/10 in the 24 hours preceding death.

Patient was made comfort care only and died within hours.

- Communication Issues
- Documentation Issues
- Delayed or missed diagnosis
- Treatment Issues
  - Delayed rescue of deteriorating patient
  - Procedural complication
- Palliation issues
- Triage or transition of care issues



Example of missed opportunity for monitoring after treatment



56 year old female with uncontrolled diabetes and poor compliance presents to ED via ambulance with weakness.

### K > 8

Treated with single doses of insulin, calcium, bicarb, glucose, Kayexelate

No repeat labs

4 hours later coded in CT scanner



Example of missed opportunity for monitoring after treatment All beds in ED full

Patient boarded in hallway

Waiting room with more than 20 patients

Middle of the night

CT scanner suddenly available

IR unavailable to put in emergent dialysis catheter Patient coding in the next

room

ICU beds full













RR 62.1 FTR death





per ta	60
ار م استاد ماد ماد ماد ا	120 /80 90
	98 .
	20
Inn	" 37.7 " 37.2 0.5

Yeuis.

1 mL Single-dose NALOXONE HC Injection, USP 0.4 mg For I.V., I.M., or S.C. use. Protect from light. Be only H RL-0591 (9/04) HOSPIRA, INC., LAKE FOREST, LE





# "No one should ever suffer or die as a result of failures in our systems or processes of healthcare delivery."

MC Mortality Review Subcommittee, May 2007



# **Committee Work**





Sharing Knowledge to Inspire Change

- 1. Case Reports (stories)
  - Distributed to clinical leaders of each department whose providers cared for the patient
  - Allowed grass roots response
- 2. Quarterly Reports (data)
  - Distributed to all members of hospital practice and quality committees
  - Distributed to all clinical department chairs
  - Distributed to all nursing units (nurse manager)

3. Quarterly Presentation (data + stories)



### **Organizational Structure**



## Morbidity and Mortality Council



### SPC p-chart of MCR mortality rate



This information is confidential and protected from disclosure by Minnesota Statute 145.61 et seq. MAYO CLINIC

### FTRR events by nursing unit



D

MAYO CLINIC

元
### FTRR events for non-ICU nursing units by year





MAYO CLINIC

# "It takes too much time and resource."





# Why are we spending so much time and effort on this?



# What is it that we are really trying to accomplish?





# difficult.

fatal.

is

# not changing

is



©2013 MFMER | slide-42







# Data + Stories = Actionable Information



©2013 MFMER | slide-44

# Why does the structure work?

- Moves away from insular peer review
- Promotes culture change
- It's NOT about adverse events
  - Identifying process of care and system failures
  - Identifying opportunities for improvement
  - Inspiring action through stories

Right size quality improvement initiatives



# It's a delicate balance...

# What can you learn from failures to save lives?

©2013 MFMER | slide-46

# "What about the living?"

Paula Santrach, MD Chief Quality Officer, Mayo Clinic



P2013 MFMER | slide-47

# Safety Learning System

- Next generation organizational learning
- Identification of opportunities for improvement
- Application of lessons learned from Mortality Review to other "challenging" cohorts
- Addition of human factors taxonomy



## Learning from the Living: Other Challenging Cohorts

- Readmissions
- High cost cases
- Respiratory failure
- "Hot spots"
- Sepsis
- PSI-4





# Tenets of a Safety Learning System

- 1. Multidisciplinary reviews
  - Nurses have equal voice
  - Multiple perspectives on patient journey
  - Identification of "contributing factors" (HF nomenclature)
- 2. Practicing providers & Deference to expertise
  - Omissions provide bigger opportunities
  - Increases physician involvement
- 3. Multispecialty, multidisciplinary case discussions
- 4. Actionable Information and Influence
  - Case-based teaching with patient stories
  - Six Sigma structure and analytics
  - Leading "up" and influencing change

## Safety Learning System Research Collaborative 2016

- Mayo Clinic Rochester
- Regions Hospital, Minneapolis
- Beaumont Health, Michigan
- Sharp HealthCare
- MedStar Health
- University of Mississippi Medical Center
- University of Washington Medical Center



### Safety Learning System Research Collaborative 2017

- Penn State Hershey Medical Center
- Tasmania Health System, Australia
- Hoag Hospital
- Aurora Health System
- Eastern Maine Health System
- UT Southwestern



### SLS Collaborative Timeline





#### Safety Learning System: Review & Organizational Learning Execution

#### Group Buy-In

#### Individual Training and Site Preparation

#### Philosophical Approach

Large group session: lecture format with Q&A (onsite or video)

History and evolution of SLS

Multidisciplinary multispecialty collaboration

Importance of reaching consensus

Chatham House Rule

Care good enough for your family?

System and process of care review – NOT peer review

Not related to preventability or causality

Opportunities for Improvement

#### Site Configuration

One live webinar with administrative lead of project to describe components of the configuration.

Complete Excel template

Review configuration completed by HBHS for accuracy

This configuration will duplicated for hospitalspecific training sites

#### Case Entry Training

Select two people to participate in live webinar training

Hospital training site will be used

Cases entered here will be used for the reviewer training

#### Tier 1 Review Training

These are screening reviews intended for detailed data collection or to minimize physician time spent reviewing cases

#### Clinical Review Training

Select 1-2 nurse and 1-2 physician "superusers" to participate in live webinar training

Include committee leads Hospital training site will be used

Cases reviewed will be used for committee prep training

#### Committee/Case Discussion Preparation

Committee Leads participate in live webinar

Review OFIs identified by reviewers and reconcile duplicates

If necessary, split aggregated OFI's into more specific ones

Synthesize all reviews with case discussion and generate a final summary for distribution

#### Case Discussion Training

One facilitator and one note-taker

Group Training

Multidisciplinary, multispecialty case discussion

Discuss only cases with OFI's

Stress Chatham House rule

Identify missed OFI

Reporting and Enhancing Organizational Knowledge to Influence Change

MAYO CLINIC

## Collaborative Participants Receive:

- Two ONSITE training visits by Dr. Huddleston
- Dr. Huddleston's consulting time as needed and 2x/month webinars for training and collaborative learning
- Materials for standardized case review training
- Project management support
- Study design, data aggregation and analysis
- Manuscript coordination, publication costs
- Site-specific report generation and benchmarking
- Safety Learning System (SLS) configuration & support
- Use of SLS at no cost (no license fee) for duration of
   Collaborative (provided by HB Healthcare Safety, SBC)

	New		In-Pro	gress			Final		
	Draft Cas	Draft Cases 12 Per		ing Reviews 50 Pre-Committee 0 Finalized Cases 77					
	Unassigned Cases 8 Revi			wed - No OFI's 33 Committee Reivew 5				Standardized reviews	
	Search Status	Encounter \$	Q Patient ‡	Review Typ	Discharge \$	<ul> <li>Control of the second se</li></ul>	25 of 85 > 10 •	Records per page	Workflow management
	Draft Unassigned	1563289	Anderson	Mar 01, 2016 Mar 01, 2016	Mar 05, 2016 Mar 05, 2016	Mortality Sepsis		Edit	Dynamic analysis
	Pending	1563289	Watsonburg	Mar 01, 2016	Mar 05, 2016	Readmission	Physician Review	Re-Assign	Chart downloads
	Pending Pending	1563289	Watsonburg	Mar 01, 2016 Mar 01, 2016	Mar 05, 2016 Mar 05, 2016	Readmission Readmission	Physician Review Physician Review	Re-Assign Re-Assign	
	Pre-Committee	1563289	Kawasaki	Mar 01, 2016	Mar 05, 2016	Mortality	OFI's Found	Reconcile	Enterprise solution
	Reviewed - No OFI's	1563289	Radcliffe	Mar 01, 2016	Mar 05, 2016	Mortality	No OFI'S Found	Finalize	
RT	Committee	1563289	Meversons	Mar 01. 2016	Mar 05. 2016	Readmission		Review	

#### PARETO REPORT





# What are you doing to learn from process of care and system failures?

# JOIN US!!

@jmhuddleston

huddleston.jeanne@mayo.edu

# Literature/Resources



©2013 MFMER | slide-58

#### Learning From Every Death

Jeanne M. Huddleston, MD, \*† Daniel A. Diedrich, MD, § Gail C. Kinsey, RN,// Mark J. Enzler, MD,‡ and Dennis M. Manning, MD\*

The concepts of peer review and the venerable morbidity and mortality conference are familiar improvement approaches to health care providers. These 2 entities are typically provider or patient centric and are not typically extended within hospitals and health systems as a tool for organizational learning for care process or system failures. Out of a desire to deepen our understanding and accelerate learning about quality and safety opportunities in our hospitals, Mayo Clinic embarked on journey to analyze the stories of all patient deaths. This paper illuminates the lessons learned through the development and evolution of the Mayo Clinic Mortality Review System (Rochester, MN).

Guiding principle of Mayo Clinic Mortality Review System: "No one should ever suffer or die as the result of process of care or system failure."



### Journal of Patient Safety, April 2014



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

#### Safety Science

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ssci



CrossMark

Learning from patient safety incidents in incident review meetings: Organisational factors and indicators of analytic process effectiveness

Janet E. Anderson<sup>a,\*</sup>, Naonori Kodate<sup>b,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Florence Nightingale Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, King's College London, James Clerk Maxwell Building, 57 Waterloo Road, London SE1 8WA, United Kingdom <sup>b</sup> School of Applied Social Science, Hanna Sheehy-Skeffington Building, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland

### A 100% Departmental Mortality Review Improves Observed-to-Expected Mortality Ratios and University HealthSystem Consortium Rankings

Martin J Heslin, MD, MSHA, FACS, Benjamin Taylor, MD, Mary T Hawn, MD, MPH, FACS, James E Davies, MD, FACS, Ryan T Heslin, Andrew H Mims, John E Morgan, R Luke Rabun, W Andrew Smedley, Melanie S Morris, MD, FACS, Donald A Reiff, MD, FACS, Gerald McGwin, PhD, Kirby I Bland, MD, FACS, Loring W Rue, MD, FACS The Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety

**Methods and Tools** 

Saving Lives by Studying Deaths: Using Standardized Mortality Reviews to Improve Inpatient Safety

Helen Lau, R.N., M.H.R.O.D.; Kerry C. Litman, M.D.

The Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety

Methods, Tools, and Strategies

# The Mortality Review Committee: A Novel and Scalable Approach to Reducing Inpatient Mortality

John S. Barbieri, BA; Barry D. Fuchs, MD, MS, FACP; Neil Fishman, MD; Carolyn Crane Cutilli, RN, PhD-c, MSN, ONC, CRRN; Craig A. Umscheid, MD, MSCE; Craig Kean, MS; Sherine Koshy, MHA, RHIA, CCS; Patricia Garcia Sullivan, PhD; PJ Brennan, MD; Rachel R. Kelz, MD, MSCE